



Saybolt
A CORE LABORATORIES COMPANY

FAST TO THE POINT

Report No. 13201-00004574-08
Lab number SPL-2008-07-337 / 336
Report Date Jul-29-2008
Object N/A
Product Diesel Oil & Diesel Oil Plus Ferox
Location N/A
Sample Submitted as Composite
Date Received Jul-26-2008
Marked Diesel Oil & Diesel Oil Plus Ferox

Date of sampling Jul-25-2008
Testing Completed Jul-28-2008
Seal No. N/A



Core Laboratories Panama, S.A.

Technopark International Panama
City of Knowledge Building No.228
Jacinto Palacios Cobos St.
Clayton, Republic of Panama
Email: saybolt.panama.lab@corelab.com

ANALYSIS CERTIFICATE

Test	Units	Method	Result - DO	Result DO+Ferox	Specs
API Gravity	°API	ASTM D 1298	37.4	37.4	30 Min
Kinematic Viscosity	mm ² /s (cSt)	ASTM D 445	2.496	2.349	1.9 - 4.1
Cetane Index		ASTM D 976	49.9	49.7	45 Min
Micro carbon residue, 10% Bottom	wt. %	ASTM D 4530	0.015	0.015	0.3 Max
Flash Point, PMCC	°F	ASTM D 93	152	152	140 Min
Water and sediment	vol. %	ASTM D 1796	0	0	0.05 Max
Ash Content, wt. %	wt. %	ASTM D 482	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.01 Max
Color		ASTM D 1500	L1.0	L1.0	2.5 Max
Pour Point	°C	ASTM D 97	-27	-27	Report
Corrosion Copper Strip, 3 h @ 122 Deg. F		ASTM D 130	1a	1a	2 Max
Appearance		Visual	B & C	B & C	B & C
Heat of Combustion - Gross	BTU/Lb	ASTM D-4868	19614	19600	Report
Iron, ppm	mg/kg	ASTM D-3605	0.03	1.18	Report
Distillation, Deg. F.	°F	ASTM D-86			
- 10% Recovered	vol. %		416.1	416.7	Report
- 50% Recovered	vol. %		500.8	500.9	550 Max
- 90% Recovered	vol. %		583.7	586.3	680 Max
- Final Boiling Point	vol. %		636.1	636.4	Report
- Loss Percent	vol. %		1.5	1.7	2 Max
- Residue Percent	vol. %		1.3	1.3	2 Max

IMPORTANT NOTES:

This Laboratory Report may not be published or used, except in full. It shall not be used in connection with any form of advertising, unless written consent is received from an officer of Saybolt.
Results were based on analysis made at the time samples were received at the Laboratory.
Samples if any, shall be retained for a period of 45 days unless a longer period is requested in writing.
Sample nomenclature is designated by the customer.
Precision parameters apply in the evaluation of the Test result specified above. Please also refer to ASTM D3244 (except for analysis of RFG), IP367 and appendix E of IP standard methods for analysis & testing with respect to the utilization of test data to determine conformance with specifications.
This report is issued in accordance with the General Terms and Conditions of Saybolt Panama and the recipient is deemed to have full knowledge thereof.

Cesar Escobar

Core Laboratories Panama - Saybolt

Parish Chemical Company
145 N Geneva Road, Vineyard, Utah 84058
P.O. Box 277, Orem, Utah 84059
August 10, , 2008
Technical Report No. 13201-00004574-08

Ash contribution to treated fuel from Ferox 230

The ash contribution to treated fuels at the recommended treatment ratio of 1/5000 (Ferox 230/fuel) is usually insignificant (2.4 – 2.8 ppm) when compared to the actual ash content of the fuel itself.

Ash content of the fuel additive Ferox 230 itself

The calculated ash content of Ferox 230 is 1.2 – 1.4% ash. Commonly used standard ashing procedures (ASTM, AOAC, USP), however, usually fail to report this value and tend to give much lower ash values.

With regard to the Analysis Certificate provided by Cove Laboratories Panama, S.A., this is a nice report. The use of Ferox fuel additives at the recommended treatment ratio does not significantly change any meaningful fuel specification. The analytical data provided in this report strongly support this representation. This is what we always see. All of the values for the treated fuel are within specifications. This is the major reason that the use of Ferox treated fuels will not void an equipment warranty.

The heat of combustion BTU/lb value of 19614 vs. 19600 is not significant. We just as often see a higher value for Ferox treated fuel but the difference in values is always within experimental error. Theoretically, the values cannot be different because a catalyst cannot change heat of combustion. This report is exactly what we want to see. The use of some fuel additives will actually change fuel specifications. This is bad because it could void an equipment warranty. The corrosion copper strip test is particularly important in this regard.

The flash point of 152°F does not change on treatment with Ferox. The use of any fuel additive at a ratio of 1 to 5000 cannot possibly raise the flash point of the treated fuel. The flash point can be lower if the fuel additive has a substantially lower flash point. This is generally considered to be a disadvantage. Ferox has been engineered not to lower the flash point.

Eficiencia Caldera 1 bunker sin Ferox:

Promedio de carga: 76.75%

Consumo: 37.50gls

Potencia Promedio: (3488*0.7675) = 2677.04Kw

Conversión

$$2677.04 * 56.92 = 152377.116 \text{ Btu por minuto}$$

$$152377.116 * 0.252 = 38399.033 \text{ Kcal por minuto}$$

$$2303942.00$$

$$38399.033 * 60 = 6 \text{ Kcal por segundo}$$

$$\text{Rendimiento: } \frac{2303942.00}{30719.22} = \frac{\text{Kcal por segundo}}{\text{gls. HFO}} \text{ (37.50*2)}$$

Resultado: En una (1) hora de trabajo con un (1) galón de combustible podemos generar 30719.22 Kcal por segundo.

Eficiencia Caldera 1 bunker con Ferox:

Promedio de carga: 76.75%

Consumo: 35.21gls

Potencia Promedio: (3488*0.7675) = 2677.04Kw

Conversión

$$2677.04 * 56.92 = 152377.116 \text{ Btu por minuto}$$

$$152377.116 * 0.252 = 38399.033 \text{ Kcal por minuto}$$

$$38399.033 * 60 = 2303942.006 \text{ Kcal por segundo}$$

$$\text{Rendimiento: } \frac{2303942.00}{32717.15} = \frac{\text{Kcal por segundo}}{\text{gls. HFO}} \text{ (35.21*2)}$$

Resultado: En una (1) hora de trabajo con un (1) galón de combustible podemos generar 32717.15 Kcal por segundo.